

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
STANISLAV LAZAROVSKY, on behalf  
of plaintiff and a class,

Plaintiff,

-against-

14-CV-1930

CACH, LLC, KEVIN Z. SHINE, PLLC,  
KEVIN Z. SHINE, JOHN DOE 1-10  
BEING THOSE INDIVIDUALS  
CONTROLLING, SUPER-VISING OR  
MANAGING THE ACTIVITIES COMPLAINED  
OF IN THIS ACTION,

Defendants.

-----X

**[PROPOSED] ORDER CERTIFYING SETTLEMENT CLASS  
AND GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT**

Pursuant to the joint motion filed on \_\_\_\_\_, 2015, the parties in this class action have reached a proposed settlement and seek preliminary approval of the proposed class, the proposed Class Action Settlement Agreement, and the Notice of Class Action Settlement. Having considered the Motion and supporting papers, the Agreement and the Exhibits attached thereto, the Court issues the following Order:

I. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Class Action Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order as set forth therein. The Agreement is

attached as Appendix I to Docket Entry \_\_ and is incorporated herein by reference.

2. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the Agreement and the settlement embodied therein. The Court preliminarily finds that this case meets the requirements for class certification under FED. R. CIV. P. 23. The Court further preliminarily finds that the Agreement appears to provide for a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. It appears to the Court that the Agreement is fair, adequate and reasonable as to all potential Class Members, when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation. It further appears that as a result of speedy, voluntary discovery counsel for the Parties at this time are reasonably able to evaluate their respective positions. It further appears to the Court that settlement at this time will avoid substantial additional costs to all Parties, as well as the delay and risks that would be presented by further prosecution of this Action. Additionally, it appears that the proposed settlement was reached as a result of intensive, non-collusive, arms-length negotiations.

3. A hearing ("Fairness Hearing") shall be held before this Court on \_\_\_\_\_, 2015 at \_\_\_\_\_.m. in Courtroom 10-D in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York, located at 225 Cadman Plaza East, Brooklyn, New York 11201, to determine all necessary matters concerning the settlement, including: whether the proposed settlement of the Action on the terms and conditions provided should be finally approved by the Court as fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class Members; whether the case should be



dismissed with prejudice pursuant to the terms of the settlement; whether the Court should finally approve a statutory enhancement payment for the named plaintiff; and the amount of attorney fees and costs to be awarded Class Counsel Mitchell L. Pashkin, Esq. and Jesse D. Langel, Esq.

4. The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the proposed "Notice of Class Action" ("Notice") attached as Exhibit \_ to Appendix I to Docket Entry \_\_. The Court finds that the distribution of the Notice substantially in the manner and form set forth in the Agreement meets the requirements of due process under the Constitution and FED. R. CIV. P. 23(e); and that such Notice is the best practicable under the circumstances and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto. The Court further finds that the proposed form of notice is adequate and will give all Class Members sufficient information to enable them to make informed decisions as to the Class, the right to object or opt out, and the proposed settlement and its terms.

5. For purposes of effectuating this settlement, the Court preliminarily certifies a Settlement Class consisting of:

- a. all individuals with addresses in the State of New York;
- b. who, during the period from March 26, 2013 through the date of the Preliminary Certification Order, were sued by CACH of Colorado, LLC, represented by The Law Office of Kevin Z. Shine, PLLC [incorrectly named in the action as Kevin Z. Shine, PLLC];
- c. attempting to collect a consumer debt;
- d. where such lawsuits are either still pending or have resulted in judgments against such individuals where the judgments are either partly or completely unsatisfied; and
- e. that the class is estimated to be comprised of 276 people.

The following persons, assuming that they otherwise meet the class definition, will be excluded from the settlement class:

- a. any person who already received or entered into an FDCPA/GBL/FCRA settlement with Defendants that encompasses the class claims asserted by plaintiff;
  - b. any person who has been discharged in bankruptcy under Title 11 of the United States Code as of the date of the preliminary approval order; and
  - c. any Class Member who timely mails a request for exclusion..
6. For purposes of effectuating this settlement, the Court hereby

appoints plaintiff Stanislav Lazarovsky as the class representative for the settlement Class, and the following attorney is appointed as Class Counsel:

Mitchell L. Pashkin, Esq.  
775 Park Avenue, Suite 225  
Huntington, NY 11743  
631-335-1107

and

Jesse D. Langel, Esq.  
The Langel Firm  
225 Broadway, Suite 700  
New York, NY 10007  
646-290-5600

7. The Class Notice shall be distributed to the class as follows: On or before \_\_\_\_\_, 2015, Defendant or its designee will print, copy, and mail the Class Notice to the Class Members at the most current address reflected in defendants' records, with a notation on the envelopes requesting address correction. If any notice is returned with a new address, the notice will be re-mailed to the new address. As to any Class Member whose notice is returned without a forwarding address defendants or its designee shall run such Class



Member through the National Change of Address database to seek a good address and they shall re-send the Notice to any Class Member for whom it is able to obtain a new address as a result of such search. Defendants shall use its best efforts to provide prompt re-sending of any returned notices. However, defendants and their attorneys shall not be responsible the failure of the Postal Service to timely deliver or return a class notice. Defendants shall have no obligation to re-send a notice that is not returned by the Postal Service until after the date that is seven days prior to the Final Fairness Hearing.

8. Any class member who desires to enter an appearance pursuant to Rule 23(c)(2)(B)(iv) must do so by \_\_\_\_\_, 2015. Class Members who wish to exclude themselves from (opt out of) the Class and the proposed Settlement must mail a written request for exclusion to Class Counsel and Counsel for defendants postmarked no later than \_\_\_\_\_, 2015, which is two (2) weeks prior to the Final Fairness Hearing. In any such written request for exclusion, the Class Member must set forth his or her full name, address, and telephone number, and the last four digits of his or her Social Security number, together with a statement to the effect that that he or she wishes to be excluded from or opt out of the Class.

9. Any Class Member who submits a valid request for exclusion at any time prior to the expiration of the opt-out period shall not be bound by the terms of this Agreement and shall not receive any of the benefits of the Settlement.

10. No member of the Class, or any other person, shall be heard at the Fairness Hearing in opposition to class certification, class settlement, Class Counsel's proposed attorneys' fees and expenses or the proposed Class Representative's award unless not later than \_\_\_\_\_, 2015, such Class Member or other person files with the Clerk of the Court and serves upon Class Counsel and Counsel for Defendant a written notice of their intent to object to one or more of the terms of this Agreement or the Final Order and Judgment.

11. Any such notice of objections shall include:

- (1) a statement of each objection being made;
- (2) a detailed description of the facts underlying each objection;
- (3) a detailed description of the legal authorities underlying each objection;
- (4) a statement of whether the objector intends to appear at the Final Fairness Hearing;
- (5) a list of witnesses whom the objector may call by live testimony, oral deposition testimony or affidavit during the Final Fairness Hearing; and
- (6) a list of exhibits which the objector may offer during the Final Fairness Hearing, along with copies of all of the exhibits.

12. Unless otherwise agreed by both defendants and Class Counsel, any Class Members or other persons who fail to properly or timely file their objections with the Court, or fail to timely serve such objections on Class Counsel and counsel for defendants, shall not be heard during the Final Fairness Hearing and the Court will not consider their objections.

13. Until the Fairness Hearing described above, or further order of this Court, all Class Members are hereby preliminarily enjoined and ordered not to file, institute or prosecute any lawsuit or claim against defendants or any of its



officers, directors, shareholders, members, or employees arising out of or related to the same or similar circumstances, transactions or occurrences as are alleged in this case.

14. This Order shall not be construed or deemed to be a finding by this Court or evidence of a presumption, implication, concession, or admission by defendants concerning (1) any alleged liability, fault, or wrongdoing by Defendant; (2) the appropriateness of any measure of alleged loss or damages; or (3) the appropriateness of class certification for any purposes other than Settlement. If the Settlement Agreement is terminated pursuant to its terms, or if the Settlement is not approved or consummated for any reason whatsoever, the Settlement and all proceedings had in connection therewith shall be without prejudice to the *status quo ante* rights of the parties to this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2015.

---

Hon. Carol Bagley Amon  
Chief Judge